

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/380,614	ALBY, ALBERT	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Nicholas Woodall	3733	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Nicholas Woodall.

(2) John Schaefer.

**Date of Interview:** 23 April 2008

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Nicholas Woodall/  
Examiner, Art Unit 3733

**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 11:58am

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called the applicant's representative regarding the amendments to claim 1. The examiner felt that the language added to lines 7 and 8 of claim 1 was unclear and discussed an examiner's amendment with the applicant's representative to better claim the applicant's invention..